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Abstract

Introduction: Hydrogen peroxide is a strong oxidant that possesses an antimicrobial activity. It has been successfully used in surface/room decontamination processes either under the form of hydrogen peroxide vapor (HPV) or of vaporized hydrogen peroxide (VHP). Aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (AHP) offers a third alternative. The technology relies on the dispersion of aerosols of a hydrogen peroxide solution often complemented with silver cations. aHP provides an inexpensive and safe approach to treat contaminated rooms but sometimes fails to achieve the 6-log reduction limit in the number of viable microorganisms. Methods: Here, we used a venturi-based aHP generator that generates 4 mm in size aerosols from a 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide solution free of silver cations. Results & Discussion: We could successfully and constantly inactivate bacterial growth from biological indicators containing at least 10^6 spores of Geobacillus stearothermophilus placed on stainless steel discs wrapped in Tyvek pouches. We could also show that the biological indicators placed at various locations in a class II biosafety cabinet were equally inactivated, showing that hydrogen peroxide aerosols migrate through HEPA filters. Conclusions: Considering that our method for aerosol generation is simple, reproducible, and highly effective at inactivating spores, our approach is expected to serve as a relatively cost effective alternative method for disinfecting potentially contaminated rooms or surfaces.
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Fumigation is a widely used method to disinfect large volumes potentially contaminated with microorganisms. Traditional gaseous fumigants such as formaldehyde, ethylene oxide, or chlorine dioxide show high efficiency and reliability but are potentially harmful to users. At best, they act as a respiratory and mucosal irritant, while at worst they are highly toxic, even at low exposure levels. Indeed, formaldehyde is a potent toxin (Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA] Permissible Exposure Limit [PEL] = 0.75 ppm; OSHA Short-Term Exposure Limit [STEL] = 2 ppm; revised Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health [IDLH] = 20 ppm) and a carcinogen, whereas chlorine dioxide gas is toxic (OSHA PEL = 0.1 ppm; OSHA STEL = 0.3 ppm; revised IDLH = 5 ppm) and explosive at concentrations of more than 9% in air.

Hydrogen peroxide is a powerful oxidant that proved to be efficient as a sterilant and disinfectant (Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA] Permissible Exposure Limit [PEL] = 1 ppm; OSHA STEL = none; revised IDLH = 75 ppm). It is colorless, odorless, and relatively safe since it readily decomposes to form water and oxygen. Numerous studies have demonstrated the efficiency of hydrogen peroxide surface decontamination using hydrogen peroxide vapors (HPV), vaporized hydrogen peroxide (VHP), or aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (aHP), the latter being sometimes referred to as dry mist hydrogen peroxide (DMHP). These approaches have been proven successful with bacteria, spores of bacteria and fungi, protozoa and their cysts, viruses, and even prions. VHP and HPV were shown to kill Mycobacterium tuberculosis, whereas the genuine efficiency of aHP in inactivating the same bacteria was the subject of lively discussion stressing the fact that hydrogen peroxide vapor and hydrogen peroxide aerosol decontamination might not be directly compared. Indeed, in studies looking at the efficacy of hydrogen peroxide against spores of Clostridium difficile, HPV or VHP systems resulted in a more than 6-log reduction in the number of viable spores, whereas the aHP approach was 1- to 2-log less efficient. Withstanding important differences in experimental setting and methodology, these data pointed to the fact that hydrogen peroxide aerosols were less efficient than hydrogen peroxide vapors. Substantial differences in the microbiological impact of the 2 systems were also observed
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when targeting environmental C difficile contaminations.25,26 There are a few major differences between the vapor (HPV or VHP) and the aerosol (aHP) approaches. First, the concentration of products used was quite different. Vapors are generated from a 30% to 35% H2O2 solution,27 whereas in aerosols, the active solution is made from a 5% to 6% H2O2 solution containing less than 50 ppm of silver ions.28 Second, HPV or VHP generators produce a more or less homogeneous condensing or noncondensing vapor, whereas aerosol generators produce a nonhomogeneous dry mist of particles ranging from 0.5 to 12 µm in size.29,30 To achieve an effective kill, the required sporicidal concentrations with hydrogen peroxide vapors range from 150 to 750 ppm (=0.2 to 1 mg/L) at room temperature,31 but higher concentrations are routinely used.32 When aerosolized hydrogen peroxide systems were used, the final concentration of decontaminants was much lower, between 2 and 160 ppm depending on the experimental system.20,28,33 Weak concentrations in active compound might account for the poor sporicidal effect of hydrogen peroxide aerosols.34 Based on these observations, Otter et al34 proposed that hydrogen peroxide vapor-based protocols should be considered as fumigation processes, whereas the use of hydrogen peroxide aerosols should be seen as a fogging application, whose decontaminating (sporicidal) potential still needs to be set straight.

For room or surface decontamination, hydrogen peroxide is generally delivered as HPV or as VHP. In the first system, the HPV generator is vaporizing from a 35% solution of hydrogen peroxide until the air is saturated and the hydrogen peroxide begins to condense on surfaces.11 In the latter system, the VHP generator is vaporizing a 35% solution without condensing.19 Both approaches proved to be successful in inactivating hard to kill bacteria such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis or spores.5,35 Since it is a powerful oxidant, there is the risk that exposing sensitive instruments to condensed hydrogen peroxide may result in increased stress or corrosion on certain materials.11,36,37 This, together with quite the high cost of purchasing and maintaining VHP and HPV generators, prompted us to consider the aHP method to decontaminate fully equipped medical or research settings.

Classical aHP combines pressure-generated, small, charged aerosols generated from a 5% to 10% hydrogen peroxide solution with <50 ppm silver cations.38 The 2 agents synergize for increased effect on microorganisms.39 aHP proved to be quite efficient in the decontamination of hospital rooms but showed more contrasted results in the inactivation of vegetative bacteria or M tuberculosis by sometimes failing to reach a consistent 6-log10 reduction.1,2,23,24,26,29,40,41

The objective of this study was to measure the effectiveness of a new generation of aHP generators using plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide (containing no silver ions) in producing a consistent high-level (at least 6-log10) inactivation of bacterial spores in a laboratory setting. Plasma activation generates an antimicrobial H2O2 solution that consists of superoxidized water containing various ions, peroxides, superoxide molecules, and oxygen derivatives. The ionic charge of the solution disrupts the cellular membrane permeability, inhibiting the enzymatic activities and denaturing cellular proteins. It also opens up transport mechanisms across the cellular wall, enabling the rapid permeation of peroxide into the cell. Over time, plasma-activated H2O2 reverts to water and oxygen, leaving no residues.

Materials and Methods

Description of the aHP Generator and of the Plasma-Activated Hydrogen Peroxide Solution

The aHP generator was purchased from Saniswiss (Saniswiss SA, Geneva, Switzerland) (Figure 1A,B). It generates aerosols (made of microparticles of approximately 4 µm in size) by a venturi system, creating powerful cyclones dispersing the hydrogen peroxide solution. The venturi system enhances the ionization of the plasma-activated peroxide solution. The 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide solution was also purchased from Saniswiss. To generate it, the hydrogen peroxide solution is subjected to a pulsed discharge process. The plasma is formed across 2 electrodes; one grounds the water. In short, during processing, water is bombarded with ultra-short, high-voltage electrical pulses. The plasma is formed by an avalanche of electrons reacting with the air and

Figure 1. Description of the aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (aHP) generator used in the study. (A) Front view. The aHP generator can accommodate a 1-L bottle of 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide that is sufficient to produce enough aHP to treat a 350-m3 hospital room (2.86 mL of plasma-activated H2O2 for 1 m3). (B) Top lateral view showing the control panel with the on/off switch button. (C) Operating the control cursor. The cursor allows the setup of either the time of injection or the theoretical room volume that could be treated within that time of injection. Decontamination assays were performed in a 25-m3 room; thus, the 25-m3 mark on the control panel was set up as the basic amount necessary to treat our 25-m3 test room (1 room volume = 1 V). To double the amount of hydrogen peroxide produced, one needed to set up the aHP generator to 50 m3 (2 V) or to increase 3-fold the quantity of H2O2 the cursor is set to at 150 m3 (3 V), and so on.
water surface. The high electron impact on the water surface enables the breakdown of water and hydrogen peroxide molecules, generating highly reactive antimicrobial molecules consisting of superoxidized water containing various ions, peroxides, and superoxides.

The aHP generator from Saniswiss is calibrated for the disinfection of a 350-m³ (eg, hospital) room by aerolizing 1 L of plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide in 60 minutes. Thus, treating our 25-m³ test lab would require 71.4 mL of plasma-activated H₂O₂ aerosolized in 4 minutes, 18 seconds. The control panel allows the set up of either the time of injection or the theoretical equivalent room volume that could be treated within that time of injection (Figure 1C). For the study, as an implicit rule, one decided to consider the 25-m³ mark on the control panel as the basic amount necessary to treat our 25-m³ test room (1 room volume = 1 V). To double the amount of hydrogen peroxide produced, one needed to set up the generator to 50 m³ (2 V) or to increase 6-fold the quantity of H₂O₂ to 150 m³ (6 V). The time required to generate 1 V of aHP is 4 minutes, 18 seconds (4'18''); 2 V: 8'36''; 3 V: 12'54''; 4 V: 17'12''; 6 V: 25'48''; and 12 V: 51'36''.

Hydrogen Peroxide Biological Indicators

*Geobacillus stearothermophilus* biological indicators were obtained from MesaLabs (Mesa Laboratories, Lakewood, Colorado). They consist of “Apex” stainless steel discs spotted with a minimum of 1.0 × 10⁶ spores per carrier. Each individual disc is packaged in Tyvek material. “Apex” biological indicators are normally designed for H₂O₂ vapor sterilization but responded successfully to aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (ie, the peroxide penetrated through the Tyvek membrane and spores were killed). After exposure, the pouches were opened and the discs transferred to a tube containing 3.8 mL of “Releasat” soybean casein digest culture medium (Mesa Labs). Tubes were incubated in a heating block (Grant instrument, QBD series, Cambridge, UK) at 56°C. Depending on the assays, spores were incubated for 4 hours up to 6 days before observing the color of the growth medium. A yellow color shows bacterial growth (ie, no inactivation). A blue color indicates absence of growth (spore inactivation).

Monitoring of Hydrogen Peroxide Levels, Temperature, and Relative Humidity

Continuous monitoring of the amount of hydrogen peroxide present in the room was performed using an H₂O₂ probe (PortaSens II; Analytical Technology, Collegeville, Pennsylvania). Low levels of H₂O₂ were measured with hydrogen peroxide 0.1/a Dräger tubes (range, 0.1-3 ppm). H₂O₂ levels were expressed in parts per million (ppm). Temperature (in degree Celsius) and percentage of relative humidity (％rh) were registered using a rh and °C data logger sensor from Lascar Electronics (model Easy log EL-USB-2, Lascar Electronics, Whiteparish, UK).

Room Decontamination with aHP

The test room is a 25-m³ lab (4.1 × 1.9 × 3.2 m) formerly used as a dark room. There is 1 access door and no windows. The room could be completely sealed during operations. Tyvek pouches containing cupules with 10⁶ spores were placed at different locations within the room (or inside the biosafety cabinet). The pouches were taped to the walls or put in Petri dishes left on flat surfaces (floor, tables, working surface in biosafety cabinet). For decontamination of the biosafety cabinet, Tyvek pouches were also put in plastic Petri dishes. The Petri dishes were then taped to the grid protecting the HEPA filter, the open side facing the filter. That way, the aHP reaching the Tyvek pouch placed in the Petri dish could only arrive through the filter. The generator was set to a given volume (from 1 to 12 V; see above: description of the generator), enabling the desired amount of hydrogen peroxide to be delivered into the room. Each H₂O₂ aerosolization episode was followed by a contact period varying between experiments from 15 minutes up to 4 hours. One decontamination cycle was composed of an H₂O₂ aerosolization episode followed by a given contact period.

Results

*Inactivation of G stearothermophilus Spores Exposed to Aerosolized Plasma-Activated Hydrogen Peroxide*

To test the efficiency of plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), we conducted a series of assays to optimize the amount of H₂O₂ needed to get at least a 6-log₁₀ reduction in the viability of *G stearothermophilus* spores. Those assays were set up to assess 2 parameters: (1) the hydrogen peroxide concentration of the plasma-activated H₂O₂ solution and (2) the amount of aerosolized hydrogen peroxide needed to inactivate spores.

Thus, in the first experiments, a constant volume of hydrogen peroxide (ie, the amount of product normally required to disinfect a 25-m³ room) was aerosolized using various increasing concentrations, 1.5%, 3%, 6%, and 12%. Tyvek pouches containing *G stearothermophilus* spores were placed in the 4 corners as well as in the center of the room and behind the aHP generator (on the opposite side of the nozzle). Only partial inhibition of spore growth (between 4-log₁₀ and 5-log₁₀ depending on the concentration of the hydrogen peroxide solution) could be observed (data not shown). In the next step, the concentration of H₂O₂ was kept constant (ie, 12%), but the volume of solution aerosolized was gradually increased, starting from the amount normally required to disinfect a 25-m³ room (1 V) up to 3, 4, and 6 times the initial amount (3 V, 4 V, and 6 V, respectively). No H₂O₂ condensation was observed at any of these conditions. Figure 2A shows that a consistent and reproducible 6-log₁₀ decrease in the number of viable spores was obtained with amounts of hydrogen peroxide 6 times higher than the initial volume used in the preliminary studies (6 V = 428.4 mL of 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide; 25'48'' of aerosolization time). Figure 2B shows that during the aerosolization episode, the amount of hydrogen peroxide in the room increased rapidly to reach approximately 190 ppm. A plateau was then maintained.
during approximately 15 minutes, before the hydrogen peroxide started to gradually decompose. Sixty minutes after the end of the aerosolization episode, 70% to 80% of the H2O2 aerosols were degraded. Based on these observations, we set up a basic decontamination protocol for our test lab using the following parameters: 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide, generator set to 6 V (Figure 1C), 60 minutes of contact time, retrieval of the Tyvek pouches containing the spores, inoculation of growth medium, and incubation for 6 days at 56°C. To retrieve biological indicators after the 60 minutes of contact time, staff wore a full suit equivalent to a Tyvek type 400, nitrile gloves, and a respiratory mask equipped with a type AXB2 gas cartridge (Dräger, Houston, Texas).

The next step was to assess the distribution of the H2O2 aerosol within the room (Figure 3A). The Tyvek pouches containing 10^6 spores were placed at different locations and various heights in the laboratory and exposed to H2O2. Figure 3C shows that the inactivation pattern was uniform (horizontally and vertically) within the entire room.

Decontamination of Biosafety Cabinets

One advantage when performing room decontaminations is the possibility to decontaminate biosafety cabinets at the same time. The issue with aHP is the possibility that aerosolized particles are retained by the HEPA filter, resulting, at the best, in only a partial decontamination of the cabinet chamber. To challenge this problem, we placed cupules containing spores of *G stearothermophilus* at various locations outside and inside the biosafety cabinet (Figure 4A). In particular, 2 samples (No. 5 and No. 6) were placed in Petri dishes that were tightly located against the grid protecting the HEPA filter in the biosafety cabinet chamber. To get a full picture, H2O2 concentrations were monitored during the decontamination process inside and outside the cabinet chamber. Likewise, relative humidity (% rh) and temperature (°C) data were collected within the room during the cycle. A complete room decontamination process was initiated with the biosafety cabinet (BSC) operating normally. As shown in Figure 4B, H2O2 accumulated inside the biosafety cabinet chamber, indicating that the hydrogen peroxide was sucked up from the room, moved along the air circulation ducts, and blown through the HEPA filter into the cabinet chamber. We also observed that H2O2 started to accumulate in the BSC chamber with a slight delay compared to the rise of H2O2 within the room (Figure 4B). Then, the increase in peroxide concentrations inside and outside the cabinet followed a similar curve to reach the plateau phase. In the decrease phase, the H2O2 levels measured outside the BSC diminished with a slower rate than the levels measured inside the cabinet. This might be because the H2O2 present within the cabinet or trapped in the HEPA filters was gently released in the room through the action of the cabinet exhaust system. Small levels of H2O2 (10 ppm or less) could be detected up to 10 hours after the start of the decontamination. As shown in Figure 4C, the temperature remained more or less constant during the process, whereas, as expected, the relative humidity of the room rose alongside the increase in aerosolized hydrogen peroxide levels to reach a peak (around 70% to 80% rh) 1 hour after the start of the decontamination before slowly declining as H2O2 particles degraded.

In addition, all the spores exposed to aHP were inactivated (Figure 4D), demonstrating that aHP aerosolized particles were not retained by the HEPA filter and could target any microbial contamination inside the enclosure of the safety cabinet.

Discussion

Benefits of Aerosolized Plasma-Activated Hydrogen Peroxide Surface Decontamination

In this study, we used an aHP approach to successfully inactivate spores of *G stearothermophilus*. The hydrogen peroxide
Aerosol is generated by a generator equipped with a venturi pump system dispersing 4 μm in size droplets of a plasma-activated H2O2 solution (Saniswiss). In these series of experiments, we could show that aerosolized hydrogen peroxide could inhibit spore and bacterial growth. The initial experiments were performed using a 1.5%, 3%, and 6% solution of plasma-activated H2O2. They all failed to produce a 6-log10 reduction in the number of viable spores. Only partial inactivation was achieved (4- to 5-log10; data not shown). Those observations were in concordance with studies by several groups12,20,23,26,30 in which a 5% to 6% hydrogen peroxide aerosol failed to get to the 6-log10 reduction limit. In our hands, a consistent and reproducible 6-log10 reduction was obtained with a 12% H2O2 solution.

It is interesting to note that the peroxide solution used in our study does not contain silver cations, an element known for its biocidal (antibacterial) properties.42,43 However, some studies also showed that silver particles might be toxic for higher organisms and human cells.44,45 This makes plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide a safer alternative in surface decontamination, especially if used for frequent treatment of hospital or laboratory rooms. Indeed, H2O2 degrades safely into water and oxygen, whereas the silver cations present in some peroxide preparations might deposit on walls and surfaces, leaving potentially problematic residues for patient health or cell culture activities.

Plasma activation is used with growing interest in the development of biocide products. Microbial inactivation could be obtained by using plasma-activated water.46 Plasma-activated coatings also showed antibacterial and antifungal activities.47 Moreover, plasma-activated media have been used for cancer treatment48 probably through activation of pathways inducing programmed cell death.49 Taken together, these observations highlight the biocidal potential of plasma-activated solutions.

Studies have reported elevations of intracellular reactive oxygen or nitrogen species after plasma treatment50 that could interact in the liquid phase with the cell surface or move into the cell and interact with key molecules controlling cell growth.

Figure 3. Efficient distribution of aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (aHP) within the room space. (A) Location of Geobacillus stearothermophilus spores in the test laboratory. Blue and black dots: spores placed at the floor level; orange dots: spores placed at mid-height (1.5 m from floor level); green dots: spores placed at 2.8 m from floor level. Sample 1: negative control (the Tyvek pouch containing spores is placed in a plate sealed in with parafilm). Samples 2 to 19: spores exposed to aHP. (B) Spraying protocol. At time zero (inverted gray triangle), 6 V of plasma-activated H2O2 was aerosolized. The injection was followed by a contact time of 60 minutes (horizontal black arrow), after which the spores were collected and put into culture (blue vertical arrow). H2O2 levels (in ppm) were continuously recorded. (C) Growth results after aHP treatment. Samples 1 and c are nonexposed and nontreated, respectively. In those samples, the yellow color of the medium indicates that growth occurred. Samples 2 to 19 were treated with aHP. The blue color of the medium indicates no bacterial growth. Samples were checked 24 hours and 6 days after initiating the culture.
growth, cell death, or DNA repair. Thus, plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide represents a promising way to decontaminate surfaces.

In some prospective experiments, we used the approach of Holmdahl et al.\textsuperscript{28} in which a total of 6 V of hydrogen peroxide aerosols were generated in 3 episodes (3 × 2 V) separated by a 60-minute contact period. The monitoring of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} levels indicated, however, that the succession of 3 successive cycles did not end up in a significant accumulation of active products (data not shown). Therefore, for the whole study, we decided to deliver the whole volume (the equivalent of 6 V) in 1 cycle. This way, we managed to maintain a short plateau of hydrogen peroxide around 170 to 200 ppm for approximately 20 minutes (Figures 2, 3, and 4B). In our hands, maintaining a plateau of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} above 150 ppm during several minutes proved to be more efficient than generating 3 successive brief peaks of a similar amount of decontaminant. During the course of our experiments, we also noticed that it was important to work with fresh solution of plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide. Indeed, once the bottle was opened, the product had to be used within 1 week; otherwise, the amount of active H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} measured dropped rapidly and concentrations less than 120 ppm had a poor biocidal effect on \textit{G stearothermophilus} spores (data not shown).

We could also demonstrate that the aHP technology used in this study was efficient enough to decontaminate BSCs (Figure 4). BSCs require decontamination before maintenance and repairs, and often they are decontaminated when room fumigation takes place. Formaldehyde gas, hydrogen peroxide vapors, and chlorine dioxide gas are primary methods to do so, but with various constraints.\textsuperscript{52} Using formaldehyde or chlorine dioxide gas is work intensive (if not treated during the decontamination of the room, the cabinet face and exhaust system must be sealed with a leak-proof panel or with plastic sheeting and masking tape prior to decontamination) and hazardous due to the high toxicity of the chemicals.\textsuperscript{53} Indeed, formaldehyde is considered a probable carcinogen\textsuperscript{5} by the US Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA) and is classified as a carcinogen to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer. In addition, formaldehyde vapors must be neutralized by ammonia before ventilating the cabinet with fresh air or, if the BSC is ducted, must be eliminated through the exhaust system, with a high risk of uncontrolled release through the air duct system and with the delicate question of releasing the product in the environment. However, since formaldehyde is a true gas at room temperature, it will expand and occupy the whole internal volume of the BSC and pass through the HEPA filter, which generally shows excellent compatibility for formaldehyde under typical decontamination cycles. To be efficient, formaldehyde gas requires a relative humidity of 60% to 85%. Although similarly efficient, the use of chlorine dioxide gas is facing similar challenges.\textsuperscript{54}

VHP was also evaluated for decontamination of BSCs with mixed results.\textsuperscript{52,55,56} Fey and colleagues\textsuperscript{57} showed that spore inactivation could be achieved only if parameters such as dehumidification (relative humidity should be below 40%) to prevent the vaporized hydrogen peroxide from condensing out but high enough to kill spores), conditioning (vapor injection), and decontamination time were perfectly set up. In contrast to formaldehyde or VPH, aHP does not require any adjustment of the relative humidity or of the temperature in the room or in the cabinet. Indeed, the room relative humidity varies during the aHP cycle, going up with the increase in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations and returning to ambient values as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) gently degrades. The generation of the aerosol does not depend on heat or on the production of vapors so that the temperature remains constant during the whole decontamination process. One must concede, however, that systems used to generate formaldehyde or VPH can be branched on the BSC and, providing proper sealing of the enclosure, allow treating the unit independently from the rest of the room. The aHP generator used in this study produces aerosols by means of a venturi pump system that generates a cone of concentrated aerosols. A minimal distance (approximately 1 m) must be kept to get an aerosol spray that is then randomly distributed within the room. There is room to put the aHP generator in the BSC chamber, but there is not enough distance between the walls to distribute evenly the aerosols, which condensate on the surfaces inside the cabinet and no decontamination is achieved. Nevertheless, our experiments showed that it was possible to decontaminate BSCs during a room decontamination. The spores contained in the Tyvek pouches that were stuck to the grid underneath the HEPA filter on the chamber side were inactivated with plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide (Figure 4, samples 5 and 6), showing that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) aerosols were going through the filter and decontaminating it. The outcome of this experiment is somehow unsettling since HEPA filters are meant to efficiently trap particles 4 \( \mu \text{m} \) in size, like the ones generated by the aHP generator. However, it was stated that capture mechanisms during filtration are influenced by physical properties such as the velocity of particles and their electric charge,\textsuperscript{58} and some authors stated that HEPA filters might retain charged particles with much lesser efficiency.\textsuperscript{59,60} Plasma activation of hydrogen peroxide generates large amounts of superoxide anions (\( \text{O}_2^- \)), hydroxyl radicals (\( \text{OH}^- \)), and peroxide ions (\( \text{O}_2^{2-} \)) that might thus affect the retention of these reactive species by the HEPA filter. It is also possible that the aerosol particles of aHP were captured by the HEPA filter, but the active chemistry from those particles was pushed down across the filter by the air moving down.

### Off-Gassing

The whole series of aHP decontamination cycles that we performed in our 25-m\(^2\) test room showed that the levels of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) dropped below 10 ppm, which is the lower detection limit of the sensor probe calibrated to measure high variation levels of hydrogen peroxide, within a time frame of 5 to 6 hours. However, using Dräger tubes, we could still detect 1 to 3 ppm of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in the room even though the reading of the sensor probe was 0 ppm (data not shown). The OSHA PEL value for hydrogen peroxide being 1 ppm, it would not have been safe to liberate the room for resuming normal activities. An additional period of 5 to 6 hours was generally necessary to get \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) levels below 0.5 ppm without active ventilation. For instance, engaging the ventilation system 60 minutes after the end of the aerosolization phase (that is at the time of biological samples collection in our assay) speeds up the degradation and elimination of the hydrogen peroxide in such a way that a complete room decontamination could be achieved in around 6 hours. It is also theoretically possible that some material like paper, cardboard, or clothing adsorbs \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) that could be slowly released and represents a long-term health issue for the workers. Since the whole process is run at room temperature, it is unlikely that the peroxide solution captured by adsorbing material will be released before the product is completely degraded. Until complete degradation, the peroxide laid on surfaces would be more of a contact hazard, not a respiratory hazard, that could be easily solved by wearing appropriate safety gloves.

### Peroxide Chemical Safety

One particular safety concern is the explosiveness of hydrogen peroxide. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) itself is not flammable, but it can cause spontaneous combustion of flammable materials. It has indeed, according to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 704 standard system, a reactivity code 3, meaning that the product is capable of detonation or explosive decomposition, but it requires a strong initiating source or must be heated under confinement before initiation. Thus, explosive vapor phases can only be formed of aqueous hydrogen peroxide solutions with concentrations higher than 70\% (w/w) at temperatures above 110°C.\textsuperscript{61} The system used in this study generates hydrogen peroxide aerosols 4 \( \mu \text{m} \) in size from a room temperature 12\% (w/w) solution at atmospheric pressure. We do not know if aerosols have intrinsic properties that make them more reactive, but by comparison with the reactivity of vapors, the risk of explosion under our experimental setting might be considered very low. In addition, during the decontamination process, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) will gently settle on surfaces and either react with
Calculation of the increase of oxygen (O2) level within the room if H2O2 is completely degraded by the dismutation reaction 2H2O2 → 2H2O + O2. This situation should be the worst-case scenario.

Experimental setting:
- Use of 34.4 mL of 12% w aq H2O2/m3
- Initial concentration of O2 in air = 20.95% 
- Density of 12% w aq. H2O2 = 1.03 g/mL 
- Molecular weight = 34 g/mole 
- Molar volume (at 20°C) = 24 L/mole

The increase of the oxygen level is calculated by:

\[
\text{Increase of } \text{O}_2 = \frac{0.125 \text{ mole of } \text{H}_2\text{O}_2}{1 \text{ L of } \text{O}_2/\text{m}^3} \times \text{increase of } 0.15\% \text{ of } \text{O}_2
\]

The final concentration of O2 will therefore not exceed 21.1% within the room.

According to the National Fire Protection Association standards, the maximal oxygen concentration that can be safely used for oxygen enrichment at various atmospheric pressure is given by the following equation:

\[
\text{O}_2 (\%) = 23.45 / (P^{0.5})
\]

where \(P\) is the total pressure in atmosphere (atm).

At sea level (\(P = 1 \text{ atm}\)), the maximal oxygen concentration considered safe is therefore 23.45%. In our worst-case scenario, the increase in oxygen levels is therefore negligible in terms of inflammability safety concerns.

organisms or material or degrade. In a worst-case scenario, one could postulate that the complete volume of H2O2 aerosolized in our more stringent procedure (34.4 mL/m3; Figure 4) would spontaneously transform into water and oxygen. The dismutation reaction would produce 1.5 L of O2/m3, leading to an increase of 0.15% in oxygen level (Table 1). The total amount of oxygen would amount to 21.1%, which is negligible compared to the 23.45% upper safety limit set by the NFPA.62

**Conclusion**

aHP proved to be an efficient, easy to set up, and cost-effective method to decontaminate rooms and BSCs potentially contaminated with organisms. Indeed, the cost of the aHP generator is 10 to 20 times lower than HPV or VHP generators (catalog prices), and the cost of the 12% plasma-activated hydrogen peroxide solution is more or less equivalent to hydrogen peroxide solution sold for vaporizer devices. It is quick to set up, environmentally friendly (hydrogen peroxide degrades into oxygen and water), and well tolerated by standard laboratory equipment, including electronics. aHP will probably become a method of choice in the near future, when additional decontamination data with a larger selection of microorganisms and more stringent conditions (eg, organic load; type of surfaces) will show the robustness of the system. Nowadays, we regularly treat small- to medium-sized biosafety level 2 research laboratories before routine maintenance or change of affectation, sometimes running 2 decontamination cycles in a row when larger volumes need to be treated.


